Peculiar seasoning in the neutrino day-night asymmetry: where and when to look for spices?

Oleg G. Kharlanov* and Andrey E. Lobanov†
Department of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Physics,
Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russia

We analyze the peculiar seasonal effects in the day-night asymmetry of solar neutrinos, namely those connected with the neutrino nighttime flux anomaly near the winter solstice. We show that, for certain placements of the neutrino detector, such effects may be within the reach of next-generation detectors.

arXiv:1510.00985v1 [hep-ph] 4 Oct 2015

In our recent paper [1], we have shown that the Earth matter effects for both Beryllium-7 ($E = 0.862$ MeV) and Boron-8 ($E \sim 4 - 15$ MeV) solar neutrinos can be efficiently described using analytical techniques. Indeed, the parameters of the Earth's internal structure and the typical oscillation lengths of solar neutrinos are such that not only the oscillation probabilities for neutrinos at a given nadir angle Θ_N can be calculated analytically using the valley-cliff approximation [2],

$$P_{\nu_e}(\Theta_{\rm N}; E) \approx \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos 2\theta_{\rm Sun}\cos 2\theta_0, & \Theta_{\rm N} > \pi/2 \text{ (day)}, \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\cos 2\theta_{\rm Sun}\big\{\cos 2\theta_n^- + 2\sin 2\theta_0 \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} \Delta\theta_j \cos 2\Delta\psi_{n,j}\big\}, & \Theta_{\rm N} < \pi/2 \text{ (night)}, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

but one can also find an analytical expression for the electron neutrino observation probability averaged over a year-long observation term

$$\langle P_{\nu_e}(\tfrac{\rm night}{\rm day}; E)\rangle_{\rm year} \equiv \int\limits_{1\text{ year}} \frac{w(t){\rm d}t}{0.5\text{ year}}\, \vartheta(\pm\pi/2 \mp \Theta_{\rm N}(t))\; P_{\nu_e}(\Theta_{\rm N}(t); E). \tag{2}$$

where $w(t)$ is a smooth weighting function. In Eq. (1), θ_0 is the vacuum mixing angle (we use the two-flavor approximation), while $\theta_{\rm Sun}$ is the effective mixing angle in the solar core [3]. The nighttime solar neutrino with energy E is assumed to cross $n = n(\Theta_N)$ interfaces on its way through the Earth to the detector and $\theta_j^{\pm}$ are the effective mixing angles in the Earth's medium after/before the jth interface; $\Delta\theta_j \equiv \theta_j^+ - \theta_j^-$ are the effective mixing angle jumps. Moreover, θ_n^- is the effective mixing angle in the crust under the neutrino detector, while $\Delta\psi_{n,j}$ is the oscillation phase incursion between the jth crossing point and the detector, π per every oscillation length in the medium [1].

The opportunity to analytically average the electron neutrino observation probability (1) over the solar motion $\Theta_N(t)$ during the whole year stems from the following. As the Sun ascends and descends, the oscillation phases $\Delta\psi_{n,j}(\Theta_N(t), E)$ change by much more than π, thus the cosines $\cos 2\Delta\psi_{n,j}$ in Eq. (1) are rapidly oscillating functions of time. Then, the time average of every of such oscillating contributions to the nighttime neutrino observation probability (2) can be evaluated using the stationary phase (saddle point) approximation

$$\int\limits_a^b f(t)e^{{\rm i}\lambda S(t)}{\rm d}t = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi{\rm i}}{\lambda S''(t_s)}} f(t_s)e^{{\rm i}\lambda S(t_s)} + \left.\frac{f(\tau)e^{{\rm i}\lambda S(\tau)}}{{\rm i}\lambda S'(\tau)}\right|_a^b + O(\lambda^{-3/2}), \tag{3}$$

where functions $f(t)$ and $S(t)$ are smooth on a segment $[a, b]$ containing $p > 0$ isolated non-degenerate stationary points $t_s \in (a, b)$ such that $S'(t_s) = 0$, $S''(t_s) \neq 0$, and $\lambda \to +\infty$ [4]. In the case of nighttime solar neutrinos, the oscillation phase for the jth interface $\lambda S(t) \equiv 2\Delta\psi_{n,j}$ obviously achieves stationary points at midnights, while the boundary terms in Eq. (3) vanish [1]. Thus, the time integral (2) reduces to a sum over 365 midnights. This sum can also be replaced by an integral, and the latter once again demonstrates isolation of the stationary points. These two points are the summer and the winter solstices, corresponding to the lowest and the highest midnight solar positions. Finally, for a smooth normalized weighting function $w(t)$ (see definition (2)), the year-average day-night asymmetry

*Electronic address: okharl@mail.ru
†Electronic address: lobanov@phys.msu.ru

is [1]

$$\langle P_{\nu_e}(\text{night}) - P_{\nu_e}(\text{day})\rangle_{\text{year}} \approx \frac{1}{2}\cos 2\theta_{\text{Sun}}(\cos 2\theta_n^- - \cos 2\theta_0) + \cos 2\theta_{\text{Sun}} \sin 2\theta_0$$
$$\times \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Delta\theta_j \sum_{s=\pm 1} w(t) \frac{\vartheta\big(r_j - r_n \sin(\chi + s\varepsilon)\big)}{2\pi\sqrt{\sin\varepsilon\cos\chi\sin(\chi+s\varepsilon)}} \frac{\sqrt{r_j^2/r_n^2 - \sin^2(\chi+s\varepsilon)}}{\Delta m^2 L_{n,j}^{\text{solstice}}/4E} \cos\left\{2\Delta\psi_{n,j}^{\text{solstice}} + s'(s-1)\frac{\pi}{4}\right\}. \quad (4)$$

In the above expression, $s = \pm 1$ indexes the two solstices, $\Theta_N = \lambda + s\varepsilon$ is the solar nadir angle at the solstice midnight, χ is the latitude of the detector, $\varepsilon = 23.5^\circ$ is the Earth's axial tilt. The radii r_j of the interfaces between the spherical layers inside the Earth, for which the Heaviside ϑ function is nonzero, correspond to those interfaces which are actually crossed by the neutrino ray at the solstice midnight, at distance $L_{n,j}^{\text{solstice}}$ from the detector. Each interface enters the sum in the Eq. (4) twice, once referring to the neutrino going into the interface and once out of it; $s' \equiv \text{sgn}\{L_{n,j}^{\text{solstice}} - r_n\cos(\chi + s\varepsilon)\}$ is positive for the 'entry' points and negative for the 'exit' points. The oscillation phase incursion $2\Delta\psi_{n,j}^{\text{solstice}}$ is taken between the point where the neutrino crosses the jth interface and the detector (the nth interface).

One easily observes that the contribution to the seasonal average of the day-night asymmetry contains the terms localized around the solstices, with quite peculiar properties:

a) they are sensitive to the seasonal distribution of the observations, i.e., the weight $w(t)$; for an experiment carried out around the solstices, they undergo an amplification inversely proportional to the observation term;

b) the winter solstice ($s = -1$) contribution formally becomes infinite at the Tropic $\chi = \varepsilon$, while the summer contribution remains finite;

c) the magnitude of the jth term does not depend on how often during the year the Sun descends low enough to shine through the jth interface; this is a direct manifestation of the time localization of the contributions;

d) they oscillate with the neutrino energy E, as well as with the radii r_j of the interfaces; deeper interfaces produce faster oscillations with E.

Thus, observation of the peaks on the day-night asymmetry energy spectrum could, in principle, provide a way to measure the neutrino mass-squared difference Δm^2 and/or the radii r_j . Moreover, if one, say, takes into account the day-night effect data for December and January, the number of neutrino events will be 6 times less than that for a year-long observation and this will spoil (in terms of the statistical error) the observation of the constant contribution to day-night effect by the factor of $\sqrt{6}$. On the other hand, every oscillating contribution to Eq. (4) will become ≈ 6 times larger over such a small observation period, hence, observing such contributions 'around the Christmas' is $\sqrt{6}$ times more efficient than doing it homogeneously throughout the year.



FIG. 1: Day-night asymmetry factor A_{dn} as a function of the neutrino energy E for the detector latitude $\chi = 26^\circ$ and different averaging seasons: Thick gray/lightgray curves for the winter/summer half-years, thick black for the whole year, thin solid line for December + January. The dashed curve shows December + January data for the Tropic ($\chi = 23.5^\circ$) for comparison.

In order to analyze the seasonal effects described above (which were in fact discovered using analytical techniques) and to check the applicability domain of the approximations used, we have made a numerical simulation. Namely, we chose the weighting function $w(t) = w_0(e^{-\sigma^2/(t-t_1)^2} + e^{-\sigma^2/(t-t_2)^2}\vartheta(t-t_1)\vartheta(t_2-t)$ which smoothly limits the observation period to $t \in [t_1, t_2]$, and then computed the time average (2), using the numerical solution of the MSW equation. FIG. 1 shows the day-night asymmetry factor $A_{\rm dn}(E) \approx \frac{T_{\rm day}}{T_{\rm night}} \frac{\langle P_{\nu_e}({\rm night}, E)\rangle}{\langle P_{\nu_e}({\rm day}, E)\rangle} - 1$, where $T_{\rm day,night}$ is the weighted total daytime/nighttime over a given season.

It is quite vivid from this picture that the amplitude of the 'anomalous' oscillations reaches as much as $20-30\%$ of the 'trivial' effect and would be observable at a detector with an improved neutrino energy resolution $E \sim 1-2$ MeV, say, near São Paulo, Brazil ($\chi = 23.5^\circ S$) [5]. Thus, addressing the question raised in the title above, we conclude that *one should look for spices near the Tropic, around the winter solstice*.

Acknowledgments

The numerical simulations reported have been performed using the Supercomputing cluster "Lomonosov" of the Moscow State University.

[1] S. S. Aleshin, O. G. Kharlanov, and A. E. Lobanov, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 045025 (2013).
[2] P. C. de Holanda, Wei Liao, and A. Yu. Smirnov, Nucl. Phys. B **702**, 307 (2004).
[3] S. Mikheev and A. Smirnov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **42**, 913 (1985).
[4] M. V. Fedoruk, *The Method of Steepest Descent* (Nauka, Moscow, 1977) [in Russian].
[5] In this case, the observations should be made around June, 22, since São Paulo lies in the southern hemisphere.